

Judith Gadd Director, Government Affairs 6450 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251

March 26, 2002

Dear Mr. Johnson,

Thank you for your letter to Sprint directors and employees regarding Sprint's 1999 contribution in opposition to Missouri Proposition B, the voter initiative to permit concealed carry of guns. You ask Sprint to explain whether its contribution was a one-time event or an indication of a corporate decision to oppose right-to-carry laws in the future.

Sprint was involved in Proposition B because it has its global headquarters in the Kansas City area and many of its employees live or work in Missouri. Our involvement was encouraged by a broad cross section of leaders in local government, law enforcement, health care, religion and education. A bipartisan group of current or former political leaders also opposed the initiative. After study, the Civic Council and Chamber of Commerce, the area's two main business organizations, decided to commit funds in opposition to Proposition B. Following that action, Sprint, one of area's largest employers, along with many other Kansas City businesses, made a financial contribution in opposition to Proposition B.

Since that time, Sprint has not participated financially or through lobbying on concealed-carry initiatives. For example, Sprint was not involved in any way in the action taken last week in the Missouri House on transportation of concealed weapons. Our business is selling the best telecommunications services possible, not taking positions for or against gun carry laws.

Sprint does not expect to become involved in such issues in the future. Of course, we will continue to review all future initiatives from the perspective of employee safety---having a safe place to live and work. The input you contributed will be useful in that regard.

Sincerely,

9/3-315-9121